ABSTRACT

This essay focuses on Marino Marini’s self-imposed retreat to Switzerland, his wife’s native country, in December 1942, to escape the bombings in Milan during World War II. He would return to Milan in the winter of 1945, resuming his professorship at the Brera Accademia di Belle Arti. His Swiss years were particularly fruitful and experimental, but also the darkest and least explored of his career. They influenced his most peculiar artworks (including the Archangels, Miracles, and Pomonas), marked by disproportionate shapes and corroded surfaces, and strongly inspired by the “Nordic mystery” towards which he felt instinctively attracted. Against the backdrop of the dramatic war years, this essay reassesses Marini’s work in the light of a broader emerging Swiss art scene of international artists, museum directors, art collectors, and critics who variously contributed to the widespread knowledge of his oeuvre.

This paper explores Marino Marini’s sojourn in Tenero-Locarno, Switzerland, where he and his Swiss-born wife Mercedes Pedrazzini (1913–2008), better known as Marina, took refuge between the winters of 1942 and 1945. On December 1942 the couple had left Italy to escape the Allied bombing raids on Milan, stopping briefly in Merate and Blevio, on Lake Como. Marini would go on to spend three whole years in Ticino, surrounded by the peaceful Alps. There, one of the most important yet little studied periods of his career unfolded, marking a significant turn in his artistic, ideological, and sociopolitical identity.

Once in contact with the cultural environment of central Europe, Marini’s art underwent a radical change, both in style and syntax, which set him apart from the eclectic and culturally conservative tendencies of the prewar period aligned with fascist ideology. The new path led him towards a more dramatic and expressionistic language, characterized by corroded surfaces and disproportionate and mutilated shapes that Marini perceived as imbued with “Nordic mystery” (mistero nordico), towards which he felt instinctively attracted. That the North had a significant impact on Marini’s art was also stressed by Gianfranco Contini (1912–
the Freiburg-based philologist who in 1944 wrote of "a sort of Nordic experience with a harsher light and a more sour epidermis." Thus Marini’s Ticino works became instilled with an unprecedented expressiveness, giving them "a well-differentiated aspect in all of his production." Starting from Contini’s statement and relying on a range of archival documents here examined for the first time, this essay aims to reassess Marini’s production of 1943–45 (sculptures, drawings, and engravings) in light of the broader coeval artistic context, both by addressing his relationships with other émigré artists and their reciprocal influence, and by discussing the most significant interpretative keys applied to Marini’s works, especially in the German-speaking parts of Switzerland, which paved the way to the international reception of his art in the postwar years. It is worth noting that Marini was not a political refugee, unlike many of his friends and colleagues who were involved in the dramatic exodus following the armistice of September 8, 1943, when soldiers in disarray, Jews, Fascists, anti-fascists, and civilians fled the threat of the Italian peninsula’s military occupation by Nazi forces and their supporters from the puppet Republic of Salò.

Yet things were by no means easy for Marini. His house in Milan and studio at the Istituto Superiore per le Industrie Artistiche, in nearby Monza, where he had taught sculpture from 1930 until 1940, were bombed and completely destroyed after he left. The war interrupted his new job as a sculpture teacher at the Accademia di Belle Arti at Brera, begun in October 1941. And last but not least, with Marini’s departure from Italy, his works, which had gained public recognition at government-sponsored exhibitions (the Grand Prize for Sculpture at the II Quadriennale of Rome in 1935; at the 1937 Paris Exposition Universelle), lost visibility as the esteem he had earned over the years weakened. Restrictive measures introduced by the Federal Foreigners Police (Eidgenössische Fremdenpolizei) in Bern hindered or fully prevented competition from non-Swiss artists. Having entered Swiss territory as a foreigner, Marini was forbidden from practicing any profession and could not sell artworks. His wealthy in-laws, who resided in Locarno, offered their help by letting the couple stay at an old family home in nearby Tenero – the still-existing Castèl. Meanwhile a studio immersed in a spacious garden was generously loaned out to him by a well-off elderly Swiss-American woman named Juliet Brown-Melms (1869–1943), whose portrait Marini completed shortly before her death, possibly to convey his gratitude.

In several interviews given after World War II, Marini maintained that in the silence and stillness of Tenero he had found “a great calmness” (una grande calma), had “thought about angels” (pensavo agli angeli), and had “become pure and listened to nothing but music” (ero diventato puro e non sentivo che musica). His descriptions suggest a new joy and expressive freedom, despite the tragedy of the moment. His
experience was like a state of grace, from which arose, in his own words, “a series of very Catholic expressions of art” that he described as “very spiritual,” “alive,” “pure,” “thought-through,” and “hypersensitive, of a deeply shattered and deeply sad nature.”

The Tenero studio no longer exists, but was immortalized in photographs, paintings, and drawings by artists who visited Marini between 1943 and 1945, documenting the creative fervor of his Ticino period. The most fascinating photographs are those taken by the Viennese sculptor Fritz Wotruba (1907–1975) (figure 1), who emigrated to Switzerland in 1939, following the annexation of Austria into Nazi Germany. There are also the more intimate shots of photographer Christian Staub (1918–2004), which show Marini working on one of his medium-sized Bathers. Photographs by the Zurich gallery owner Bettina and Pietro Pancaldi from Ascona, focus on the atelier but also provide glimpses into its “paradisiacal” view of the garden. At the Kunst Museum Winterthur, a long-forgotten painting by local late-Impressionist Gustav Weiss (1886–1973) (figure 2) and preparatory studies portray Marini at three different moments of his work.
Themes

During the war, Marini concentrated on a limited number of themes: portraits, which earned him a substantial living and fame among the bourgeoisie and local aristocracy (his clients included the Tateli-Grandjeans, from Lausanne (figure 3); Werner Amsler, of Schaffhausen; Hedy Hahnloser, in Winterthur; and Karl von Schumacher and the brothers Ulrich and Manuel Gasser, of Zurich); small-scale equestrian figures; and above all, female nudes. His favorite subject from the early 1930s, Marini’s female nudes included Pomonas (figures of fertility inspired by Etruscan art), Graces, and Bathers. New themes imbued with a northern, neo-Gothic spirit also appeared in Switzerland: Miracolo (Miracle, 1943, figure 4; Arcangelo (Archangel, 1943; a portrait of Marina’s brother-in-law Gianni), with its female counterpart Arcangela (Archangel, 1943, figure 5; a portrait of Marina); and Giocoliere (Juggler, 1944), whose stylistic features (elongated shapes, pathetic glances, and expressionistic emphasis) were to characterize his artistic research for years to come.
Figure 3. Marino Marini, “Portrait of Madame Grandjean,” 1945. Polychrome gesso, 13 ¹/₂ x 7 ³/₃₂ x 8 ³¹/₃₂ in. (34.3 x 18 x 22.8 cm). Courtesy Museo del Novecento, Marino Marini Collection, Milan. © Comune di Milano.
Figure 4. Marino Marini, "Miracolo" [Miracle], 1943. Polychrome gesso, 27 ⁹/₁₆ x 14 ⁶¹/₆₄ x 11 ²⁷/₆₄ in. (70 x 38 x 29 cm). Courtesy Pinacoteca di Brera, Jesi Collection, Milan.
Figure 5. Marino Marini, "Arcangela" [Archangel], c. 1943. Photograph. Courtesy Archivio Fotografico of the Fondazione Marino Marini, Pistoia.
Materials

The sculptor favored materials such as terracotta and gesso, motivated by at least three intertwining reasons. The first was economic. Speaking of the difficulty of transporting works to a 1944 group exhibition at the Kunstmuseum Basel, Marina recalled that “bronze castings had a prohibitive price and we could not afford it.”\(^{15}\) The second reason, which she also mentioned, was more sociopolitical: “At that time almost nobody bought sculptures.”\(^{16}\) Because Marini as an Italian citizen was prohibited from selling his works, it was pointless and unaffordable to cast them in bronze. According to the journalist Urs Schwarz, foreign editor of the *Neue Zürcher Zeitung* from 1942 on, Marini had been expressly “forbidden by the police to have any of his works cast in bronze and had to sell plaster casts clandestinely in order to make a living.”\(^{17}\) Of course, Marini tried to circumvent this ban. An exhibition featuring his works was scheduled to take place in the summer of 1945 at the Kunsthalle Bern. In May of that year, Marini wrote to the director Max Huggler (1903–1994), asking him to intercede with the Swiss authorities “exceptionally to obtain permission to make sales during the period of this exhibition,” because “throughout the war I had large expenses, I am on the eve of returning to Italy and I would be happy to make some sales.”\(^{18}\)

The third reason for Marini’s use of widely available and inexpensive materials such as terracotta and gesso was essentially technical and stylistic. They allowed him to experiment with new sculptural means and forms, enhancing the expressive, pictorial values of his surfaces by scoring and scratching into them with various tools such as mallets, spatulas, chisels, and knives – Contini notably called him “a poet of surfaces: everything else is inessential to him.”\(^{19}\) In Basel’s *National-Zeitung*, Marini’s artisanship was more accurately described as follows: “Marini casts and molds at the same time, he reworks the raw cast with sculptors’ tools and color, and proceeds in the manner of the plasterer. He breathes life into the opaque, neutral white mass, obtaining an immediate and tension-filled effect, which strikes the viewer.”\(^{20}\)
Relationships

The documentation discussed thus far confirms Marini's contact with a broader European art scene. Letters, artworks, and photographs demonstrate that Marini did build relationships with the Locarno artistic community, which was then animated by the eclectic personality of Remo Rossi (1909–1982) (figure 6). However, he was much more receptive to and intellectually in tune with the community of exiled artists who at that time were located in the liveliest part of German-speaking Switzerland, in particular Zurich and Basel. Marina's fluency in German, French, and English undoubtedly facilitated these multicultural interactions. In addition to Wotruba (figure 7), "a nice, strong man, an incredible worker, always struggling with huge boulders of stone," who lived in nearby Zug, "where we were guests several times," 21 Marini spent most of his time with friends and colleagues he had befriended during his trips to Paris in the 1930s. Among them, special mention goes to Germaine Richier (1902–1959) 22 (figure 8) and her husband Otto Charles Bänninger (1897–1973), who had taken refuge in Zurich at the outbreak of the war. In Zurich, where Marini's "artistic activity" 23 mainly took place by his own admission, he also came into contact with renowned local artists such as Hermann Hubacher (1885–1976); Robert Müller (1920–2003), who was Richier's apprentice; and Hermann Haller (1880–1950), "frequent guest [at Tenero] and a great admirer of Marino as a man and as a sculptor." 24 In Basel, Marini often met up with sculptor Hugo Weber (1918–1971), who was a friend of Hans Arp (1887–1966) as well as Alberto Giacometti (1901–1966), 25 and would later teach at the Institute of Design in Chicago (also known as the "New Bauhaus").
Figure 6. Daniele Buzzi, “L'atelier di Remo Rossi con Marinò Marinò che lavora ad una testa, Remo Rossi e Titta Ratti” [Marinò Marinò sculpting a portrait in Remo Rossi's atelier, with Remo Rossi and Titta Ratti], 1942. Ink on paper, 12 13/64 x 9 1/16 in. (31 x 23 cm). Courtesy Fondazione Remo Rossi, Locarno.
Figure 7. Fritz Wotruba in Marino Marini’s atelier in Tenero-Locarno, Switzerland (from left to right: Wotruba, Marini, Marian Wotruba, and Marina Marini), c. 1943. Belvedere Wien, Dauerleihgabe der Fritz Wotruba Privatstiftung © Fritz Wotruba Privatstiftung, Vienna.
Figure 8. Germaine Richier in her studio at Hirschengraben 7, Zurich, c. 1944. Photo dedicated to “Marina affectueusement.” Courtesy Archivio Fotografico of the Fondazione Marino.
According to Marini himself, he created some of his most important sculptures in Basel: the gessoes *Miracolo* (1943); *Arcangelo* (1943); and *L’impiccato* (Hanged Man, 1944). Perhaps they were made in Weber’s studio, where he certainly printed a number of drypoints (artist’s proofs, or numbered editions of six and twelve copies) of female nudes standing, sitting, and lying; of horses; and of riders on horses. About thirty additional black-and-white lithographs depicting the same subjects were realized between 1943 and 1945 at the Stamperia Salvioni, in Bellinzona, and at the printing house J. C. Müller, in Zurich.

In a black-and-white photograph (figure 9) taken by the art critic Maria Netter (1917–1982) some of these protagonists pose with the Marinis, including Georg Schmidt (1896–1965), director of the Kunstmuseum Basel, Germaine Richier, and the art dealer Christoph Bernoulli (1897–1981); behind them, in the middle of the photograph, is Hugo Weber, while Robert Müller sits in the front row. The occasion for this picture was the aforementioned group exhibition at the Kunstmuseum Basel in the autumn of 1944, conceived by the journalist Manuel Gasser (1909-1979; feuilleton editor and cofounder of *Die Weltwoche*) and curated by Schmidt. They aimed to repopulate the museum space with sculptures made in Switzerland by four “foreign” artists: Marini, Richier, Wotruba, and Arnold D’Altri (1904–1980, born in Italy but based in Zurich since 1905). Schmidt’s intent was to spotlight four artists working in a spirit of reciprocal exchange both in themes and styles; although they did not constitute a group per se, they were indirectly bound together by the “mutual need to communicate” between “people with the same roots, who are in a part of Europe untouched by the war.”
Thirty-one artworks by Marini were set up in the famous Böcklin Room, covered in gray velvet, and smaller rooms, and “stood out from the background, creating an effect of rare beauty,” as Marina would proudly remember (figures 10 and 11). The sculptures were displayed with drawings, including three of Marini’s Pomonas of 1943–44, Miracolo (1943), Susanna (1943), the terracotta Quadriga (1943; which was subsequently donated to the museum), Arcangelo (1943; later purchased by Bernoulli), and about ten of his “psychological” gesso portraits. Wotruba’s work occupied an entire room, with nine of his best limestone sculptures, from the baroque Torso (1928) to the more severe and linear Liegender (Lying figure, 1943), Denkmal eines Jünglings (Memorial to a young boy, 1942–43), and Allegorische Frauengestalt (Allegoric female figure, 1944), in addition to several drawings. Richier exhibited an important series of bronzes, including Juin 1940 (June 1940, 1940), L’Escrimeuse (Fencer, 1943), La Grosse (1942), and the gessoes Crapaud (Toad, 1940) and Ondine (1944). Arnold D’Altri contributed his renowned Torsos (1937-42) and some of his latest works (figure 12).
Thanks to the efforts of director Max Huggler, with some assistance from Wotruba, the exhibition traveled to the Kunsthalle Bern, where it was presented over the spring and summer of 1945 in a new, thoroughly revised form (it did not include D’Altri). In Bern, Marini exhibited sixteen terracotta and gesso works completed between 1942 and 1945 and the bronze *Monaca* (Nun, 1928). In the exhibition rooms, these works were in dialogue with monumental sculptures by Wotruba and others by Richier. In an additional room, a group of small sculptures and several drawings and watercolors were displayed; they were all by international sculptors, mostly French, including Auguste Rodin (1840–1917), Aristide Maillol (1861–1944), and Charles Despiau (1874–
1946), and were lent by major local collectors such as Willi Raeber (1897–1976), Georges Moos (1912-1984), and Werner Bär (1899-1960). On the evening of June 14, a lecture was delivered in French at the exhibition by Marini’s close friend, collector, and biographer, Lamberto Vitali (1896–1992), which focused on Marini and Italian sculpture. Little is known today about this talk; but there is reason to believe that it offered a comprehensive analysis of Marini’s artistic production up until that point. It is worth remembering that Vitali was then interned in a military camp at Mürren, in the Bernese Oberland, where he gave lectures on art history to inmates in a university headed by the anarcho-syndicalist Agostino Lanzillo (1886–1952).

Apart from the Basel and Bern shows, exhibitions of Marini’s Swiss works were mainly circulated among art galleries and private collections in Germanophone Switzerland, reflecting a growing interest, both artistic and commercial, in his art, which was overwhelmingly well received by prominent local critics. In the autumn of 1945, for example, the Jewish-French art merchant Toni Aktuaryus (1893–1946) hosted an exhibition at his Zurich gallery devoted to “three Italian artists residing in Switzerland”: Marini, D’Altri, and Guido Gonzato. Precious information about the exhibiting artists can be gathered through the illustrated essays published in the gallery’s monthly journal.

The Galerie d’Art Moderne in Basel, founded and directed by Marie-Suzanne Feigel (1916–2006), a close friend of Schmidt, also decided to bet on Marini, organizing two shows. The first, a solo, took place in the autumn of 1945 and featured several sculptures, including portraits, Bathers, Venuses, and bas-reliefs with horses, in addition to sketches and drawings. It was introduced by a concert with music by Igor Stravinsky and Claude Debussy, and by an inaugural speech given by Marini’s friend Hugo Weber. The second, a collective show, followed the next year and focused on drawings alone. In the same period, one of Marini’s 1943 drawings of horses, then owned by Bernoulli, was included in an exhibition of artworks from local private collections that took place at the Kunsthalle Basel, another of the city’s most prominent cultural institutions.

It is hard to trace today all the exhibition and commercial channels of the many drawings and sketches realized by Marini in his Ticino period, which had, for obvious reasons, more widespread visibility than his sculptures. Several of these drawings were donated by the artist to friends and collectors. Some works were believed to be lost have reappeared on the market since the war. Marina, for instance, noted the mysterious disappearance of several sketches on pink sheets that her husband had forgotten on the desk of the gallery owner Ulrich Gasser, Manuel’s brother, in Zurich: “The next day he [Marini] went to pick them up, but they had disappeared.” From their encounters in Basel, Marie-Suzanne Feigel remembered how, “when he [Marini]
was sitting in the Restaurant Kunsthalle, he drew on the paper tablecloth that was on the table. The drawings were then distributed. This is how we got a collection of real Marino Marinis. Having sensed the possibility of earning some income in a moment of undeniable economic difficulties, Marini acted on several fronts to exhibit his graphic works and possibly sell them. Hedy Hahnloser bought a folder containing ten drawings for a thousand francs during one of his visits to the bourgeois villa at Winterthur, which notably hosted French Impressionist and post-Impressionist art. Between 1944 and 1945, the exhibition committee of the Kunsthaus Zürich, chaired by director Wilhelm Wartmann (1882-1970), entered into some negotiations with Marini in the attempt to acquire for the museum or to exhibit a group of drawings by the sculptor and other artists. But these initiatives did not go through due to organizational and economic issues, or other wartime disruptions.

It is worth noting how Marini’s works were thoroughly discussed, securing their place in the artistic debate of the time, in particular in German-speaking parts of Switzerland, where they were exhibited and appreciated, and would circulate widely. In discussing the unfinished aspect of his work – its intensely abraded surfaces and the chromatic emphasis on plastic details – critics have generally focused on the two apparently contradictory aspects of humor and tragedy. On the one hand, Marini’s female nudes and penetrating portraits were regarded as imbued with “sculptural humor,” “playfulness,” “burlesque” (with reference to Susanna, 1943), and “mockery.” On the other hand, his Archangels and Miracles were interpreted in a more dramatic way – as “almost painfully fragmented,” “colossi with feet of clay’ […] stigma of the destructive times in which we live.” Their pathetic yet solemn postures and heads, mirrored in the same years in the imposing sculptures of Marini’s friend Wotruba, brought to critics’ minds “the ‘bombed,’ homeless, tortured, in short, victims.” Both Lamberto Vitali and the poet-painter Filippo de Pisis (1896–1956) had already detected a slight tendency towards humor, caricature, exaggeration, and irony in some of Marini’s portraits of the late 1930s.

North of the Alps, however, the dominant interpretation of his most recent works attributed a more intimate, existential, and therefore anti-classical and anti-heroical meaning to these same qualities, which were understood as a resistance (Widerstand) to fascist ideology and also as a reaction to the tragedy of the war. This humanistic-existential meaning, increasingly ascribed to Marini’s work of the 1940s, significantly contributed to his subsequent American success. His equestrian figures were seen by James Thrall Soby and Alfred Barr, Jr. – the curators of the great Twentieth-Century Italian Art exhibition at the Museum of Modern Art in New York in 1949 – as symbols of an “unforgettable humanism” inspired by or linked to “the memory of peasants fleeing the bombings.”
Although there is no evidence of what Marini’s political ideas were at the time, he may have had an ideological shift in the mid-1940s – from a conservative, if not reactionary, position to a more progressive stance. This may be connected to his closeness to anti-fascist circles in Lugano, Zurich, Basel, and Bern. In addition, a number of his friends and acquaintances were directly involved in the Italian resistance movement. Among them, it is worth mentioning Gianfranco Contini, who joined the short-lived Italian partisan Republic dell’Ossola in late 1944, created in the Piedmont region bordering Swiss territory. A still unexplored and yet key trace of Marini’s potential shift can be found in the pages of the refugee journal *Über die Grenzen. Von Flüchtlingen – für Flüchtlinge* [Over Borders. By Refugees — For Refugees], edited by the German communist Michael Tschesno-Hell (1902–1980) from the winter of 1944 to that of 1945. In the seventh issue, in May 1945, Marini and Wotruba were announced, among others, as the next contributors to the journal’s supplement *Malerei und Plastik* (Painting and sculpture); this plan, however, went unfulfilled. Their names were eventually juxtaposed when a review of Wotruba’s pamphlet *Überlegungen. Gedanken zur Kunst* [Considerations. Thoughts On Art], published in Zurich by Verlag Oprecht in 1945, appeared in the June issue, illustrated by Marini’s classically-rooted, and yet highly powerful bas-relief *Le tre Grazie* (The three graces, 1943, figure 13).
From a purely stylistic perspective, Marini’s elongated, disproportionate, and often mutilated shapes, enhanced by strongly contrasting light and shadow, overturn the reassuring stillness and pre-classical naïveté of his prewar works. They have mainly been read in relation to Auguste Rodin, a model, together with his distant ancestor Donatello, that Marini deeply reevaluated during his years of forced retreat 55 (not unlike those émigré artists who boasted a Parisian pedigree, such as Richier and Bänninger).

Art produced on Swiss and German land before the twentieth century also had a transformative effect on Marini’s poetics. The “nordic” context, in Marini’s words, offered “a very different music from what I could have in Italy,” 56 emanating and spreading, adding a sense of mystery that continually resurfaces in his work. All of his new Swiss characters are clearly the result of a dynamic interplay with this “very different music,” from which arises an almost archetypal and powerful essentiality, poised between medieval polychrome wooden statues and the crude expressiveness of Paul Klee’s (1879-1940) angels. Marini’s contorted female nudes seem to descend from the portals...
of late Gothic cathedrals, as well as from Lucas Cranach’s (1472-1553) paintings; while the Horses and Knights have their roots in the iconographic tradition of the Apocalypse and of the Triumph of Death, spanning from the grotesque visions of Konrad Witz (c. 1400/10-1445/46) (an artist loved by Alberto Giacometti) to the hallucinatory galloping horses of Arnold Böcklin (1827-1901).

Within this framework, the mutual emulation and competition with his new circle of friends certainly played a crucial role in shaping Marini’s peculiar language. On the one hand, he treasured the legacy of the Italian Renaissance (Donatello, Masaccio, Michelangelo, Titian). On the other, he was engaging with ways of appropriation, alteration, and the destruction of that legacy as pursued by his fellows artists – from Richier’s monstrous and surreal imagination to the abstract, geometrized shapes of Wotruba, and from the primordial sensuality of Hermann Haller to the anti-naturalistic and fragmented verticality of Giacometti. These shared artistic influences soon evolved into a sophisticated mélange of common shapes, techniques, and themes, such as the life-sized Pomonas (figures 14 and 15), the Bathers, the Venuses, and other female figures in disparate poses (also reminiscent of the little sculptures of Honoré Daumier [1808-1979], Edgar Degas [1834-1917], and Henri Matisse [1869-1954]). Marini’s figures’ classical roots became, by the end of the war, the eternal idiom of a frightened but ever-hopeful humanity.
At the end of the war, Marini returned to Milan and resumed teaching at the Accademia di Belle Arti di Brera after the Purge Commission (Commissione di Epurazione) cleared his name from any possible implication with the Fascist regime. 58 “Upon returning to Milan [in 1946],” he confessed to his twin sister, the poet Egle, “I felt a real, sensual, and sensitive form again.” 59 Marini went back to the themes and visual motifs he had begun investigating before retreating to Switzerland: the nude, the horse (with or without a rider), and portraiture. But at the same time, he instantly realized he “was moving towards something more disquieting” (another recurring word here is “tragic”). 60 Their precarious and tension-filled balance, their complex web of diagonals, their
playing with full and empty spaces: these factors are all surely in dialogue with the works of both Henry Moore and Pablo Picasso, whose groundbreaking Guernica met Marini’s eyes in photographic form every time he went to visit Richier at her atelier in Zurich (see figure 8, where a detail from the mural-sized painting can be glimpsed behind her).61

Marini’s sojourn north of the Alps, albeit brief, was to leave its mark: the equestrian figures and acrobats of Remo Rossi and the Pomone of Giovanni Genucchi (1904–1979) prove that a significant chapter of Marini’s artistic legacy opened up in 1950s Switzerland. It was a chapter that did not always follow closely in his footsteps, but these artists were able to cherish, reinvent, and rework themes and ideas that Marini had put at the center of his oeuvre, especially during his secluded, yet by no means fruitless, time in Switzerland.
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